

Key Forces Analysis Analyze the key forces impacting the circular economy.

Key Forces Table

Key Forces Table

Key Forces	Example	Туре	SAT Categories	Context- Specific Categories	Upstream Causes	Downstream Effects
Cultural Attitudes	"Throwaway culture" – Consumers discard items quickly rather than repairing or reusing them.	Barrier	Attitudinal	Social	Consumer Perception: - Marketing promoting convenience and disposability - Lack of education on waste impacts - Corporate profit motives	Waste Accumulation: - Increased landfill waste - Consumer resistance to repair and reuse - Social normalization of disposability
<section-header></section-header>	Over-reliance on curbside recycling programmes as a "solution," despite their high energy use and limited impact on waste reduction.	Enabler (Misguid ed)	Structural	Policy	 Overemphasis on Recycling: Public campaigns prioritising recycling Lack of focus on reuse and composting Misleading eco-labelling 	 Misguided Responsibility: False sense of environmental responsibility High energy use in recycling processes Diverted attention from reuse
Biodegradable Plastics	Use of "biodegradable" bags that break down into microplastics, contaminating compost and soil.	Enabler (Misguid ed)	Structural	Regulatory	 Misleading Marketing: Loopholes in compostability standards Corporate greenwashing Misleading marketing terms 	Environmental Contamination: - Soil contamination from microplastics - Public misconceptions about biodegradability - Improper compost facility use
Infrastructure Gaps	Lack of municipal composting facilities or repair centers in rural areas.	Barrier	Structural	Infrastructure	 Lack of Investment: Insufficient local composting facilities High initial costs for repair centers Lack of corporate investment 	 Limited Access: Dependence on landfilling and incineration Limited options for reuse and repair Reduced accessibility for consumers
Corporate Accountability	Companies continuing to produce non- recyclable packaging due to weak producer responsibility laws.	Barrier	Transactional	Regulatory	 Weak Regulation: Ineffective extended producer responsibility (EPR) Lack of penalties for polluters Short-term profit focus 	 Systemic Inequality: Continued production of non-recyclable goods Environmental degradation Inequality in waste management outcomes
Community Networks	Tool libraries and repair cafés that promote sharing and reuse within neighborhoods.	Enabler	Transactional	Social	Grassroots Movements: - Education on composting and repair - Sharing economies (e.g., tool libraries, repair cafés)	Social Benefits: - Increased adoption of reuse and repair - Reduced waste generation - Greater social cohesion
Economic Incentives	Landfill subsidies making disposal cheaper than repair or reuse.	Barrier	Structural/ Financial	Economic	Financial Prioritisation: - Subsidies for landfilling or incineration - Low costs of new materials - Lack of financial incentives for reuse	Economic Consequences: - Businesses prioritise disposability - Reduced investment in repair and reuse systems
Policy Misalignment	Policies that focus on large-scale waste-to-energy projects instead of composting or reuse.	Barrier	Structural/ Regulatory	Regulatory	Resource Allocation: - Policies favour large-scale waste management - Lack of mandates for composting and reuse - Weak environmental standards	Uneven Progress: - Limited regulatory pressure for systemic change - Inconsistent progress across regions
Education Deficits	Misleading information that suggests recycling is more impactful than reducing or reusing waste.	Barrier	Attitudinal	Social	Awareness Gaps: - Insufficient public awareness campaigns - Lack of integration in school curricula - Misinformation about sustainability	 Behavioural Impact: Consumer confusion about effective practices Dependence on unsustainable habits